



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.28908 of 2025

Aravindhan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State By:

The Inspector of Police,
Chithode Police Station,
Erode District.

(Crime No.484 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.484 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Muthupandi

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udhayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 123 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, in Crime No.484 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found to be in illegal possession of OPIMET (Tapntadol tablets – 100 mg) – 10 tablets and 2 empty injections. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations are false; and that in any case, further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner along with other accused was found in illegal possession of OPIMET (Tapntadol tablets – 100 mg) – 10 tablets and 2 empty injections were recovered from this petitioner. He would further submit that there is no previous case against the petitioner. However, he opposed for granting bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, also considering the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate III, Erode**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

25.10.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate III, Erode.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chithode Police Station,
Erode District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.28908 of 2025