



WEB COPY



Writ Petition No.39925 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

Writ Petition No.39925 of 2025

M. Manickam
Plot 36, 1st Cross Street,
Rajalakshmi Nagar, Madipakkam,
Chennai-600 091.

Petitioner

Vs

1. District Revenue Officer
Mayiladudurai District.

2.Revenue Divisional Officer
Seergazhi, Mayiladudurai District.

3.Assistant Director
District Land Survey and Records Department,
Mayiladudurai District.

4.THASILDAR
District Collectorate Taramgambadi,
Mayiladudurai District.

Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to take appropriate actions on the petitioner's representation dated 21.03.2025 and to pass orders thereon after conducting an enquiry as requested in the petitioner's objection letter dated 05.06.2025, within a



Writ Petition No.39925 of 2025

time frame stipulated by this Honourable Court.

For Petitioner : Ms.R.Gayathri
For Respondents : Mr.S.Rajesh
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking for issue of a writ of mandamus directing the first and second respondents to act upon the objection letter submitted by the petitioner on 05.06.2025 and thereafter, conduct an enquiry.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

3. The case of the petitioner is that the patta was issued in the name of the petitioner and his wife. While doing so, patta was granted to an extent of 2443 sq.ft instead of 4028 sq.ft with respect to subject property No.1 and with respect to the subject property No.2, patta was granted to an extent of 3444 sq.ft instead of 4554 sq.ft. Under these circumstances, the petitioner submitted an application on 21.03.2025 to the respondents with a request to correct the discrepancies and to issue patta by mentioning the correct extent, in the name of the petitioner and



Writ Petition No.39925 of 2025

his wife.

WEB COPY

4. Pursuant to the application submitted by the petitioner, the second respondent directed the fourth respondent to take appropriate action. The third respondent conducted field inspection and submitted a report before the second respondent. In spite of the same, there was absolutely no progress. It is under these circumstances, the present writ petition came to be filed before this Court.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the materials placed before this Court, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to deal with the representation dated 21.03.2025 made by the petitioner and pass final orders on the same, after affording an opportunity to the petitioner, on its own merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. If there are any interested parties, they shall also be given an opportunity before passing final orders.

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J

mk



Writ Petition No.39925 of 2025

The Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

25.10.2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Index: Yes/no
Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order
mk

To

1. District Revenue Officer
Mayiladudurai District.

2.Revenue Divisional Officer
Seergazhi, Mayiladudurai District.

3.Assistant Director
District Land Survey and Records Department,
Mayiladudurai District.

4.THASILDAR
District Collectorate Taramgambadi,
Mayiladudurai District.

Writ Petition No.39925 of 2025