



W.P.No.41690 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.41690 of 2025
and W.M.P.No.46731 of 2025

S. Vijayakumar

.... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Senior Regional Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd (TASMAC),
Chennai Region, Plot No.B4,
Industrial Estate, 3rd Floor,
Ambattur, Chennai-53.

2.The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd (TASMAC),
Chennai (North),
Plot No.B4, Industrial Estate,
Ambattur, Chennai-600 053.

.... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to call for the records relating to the impugned order of the second respondent in Na.Ka.No.A1/ 18/2025 dated .09.2025 and quash the same and direct the



W.P.No.41690 of 2025

respondents to regularize the period of suspension as duty period and grant all consequential benefits to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Manoj Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.K.Sathish Kumar
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the second respondent, thereby suspended the petitioner from duty.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3.The petitioner was appointed as Shop Supervisor in the TASMAL. While being so, on 28.08.2025, an inspection was conducted and the inspection team found that the liquor bottles were sold at an excess price of Rs.10/- per bottle. Based on the inspection report, the second respondent suspended both



W.P.No.41690 of 2025

the salesman and the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4.The only contention raised by the petitioner is that, on the date of inspection, he was on leave due to stomach pain. He also produced a medical certificate dated 29.08.2025. However, the petitioner failed to produce any leave letter either prior to 28.08.2025 or immediately thereafter. It shows that the petitioner created the medical records only for the purpose of escaping from the clutches of law.

5.In view of the above, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the order of suspension passed by the second respondent. However, the petitioner submitted a representation seeking revocation of the order of suspension on the ground that the amount which was allegedly collected in excess was remitted by the salesman. Therefore, the second respondent is directed to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3/5



W.P.No.41690 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. In the result, this Writ Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

06.11.2025

Neutral citation : Yes/No

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non speaking

Lpp

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

Lpp

To

1. The Senior Regional Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd (TASMAC),
Chennai Region, Plot No.B4,
Industrial Estate, 3rd Floor,
Ambattur, Chennai-53.

4/5



W.P.No.41690 of 2025

2.The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation Ltd (TASMAC),
Chennai (North),
Plot No.B4, Industrial Estate,
Ambattur, Chennai-600 053.

W.P.No.41690 of 2025

06.11.2025