



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 28886 of 2025

1. Murtuza Turab Jasdan
S/o.Turab Jasdan Floor-6, 247, Taj
Manzil Compound, Maulana, Azad
Road, Madanpura, Mumbai-400 008.
and another

2.Mohammed Umair Zamiruddin
Golandaz
S/o.Zamiruddin Golandaz, No.311/313,
Chatriwala Building, 2nd Floor, Room
No.21, Maulana, Azad Road, Two
Tank, Girgaon, Mumbai-400 004.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep by, The Inspector of
Police,
Arokkonam PEW Police Station,
Cr.No.41/2025.

Respondent(s)



PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners on bail in Cr.No.41/2025, on the file of the PEW Arakonam Police, and thereby render justice.

For Petitioner(s): R.Harikrishnan

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.09.2025, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 123 of BNS Act and 77 of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 in Crime No.41 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against these petitioners is that they are running a medical shop at Mumbai and have made supply to the extent of fifteen thousand six hundred numbers of Tapentadol tablets to various persons, and also involved in transportation of the same to Tamil Nadu. Hence, the case.

3. The learned council for the petitioners submitted that originally A1 and A2 were arrested, and a small quantity of 591 tablets was recovered from them. On their confession, it was revealed that they received tablets from A3 and A4. He further submitted that A3 and A4, who have a medical shop at



Mumbai, were licensed, and the allegation in the counter stated that they indulge in large-scale and bulk illegal supply and sale of tablets. Hence, it is not a case of the petitioners themselves involved in illegal activities, but rather they supplied medicines as they were licensed to deal with the tablets. Hence, prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reported that although it initially seemed that the petitioners, having a license to deal with medicines, were merely supplying medicines, closer scrutiny revealed that they used to supply huge quantities of tablets in this case, 15,600 tablets, to various persons in Tamil Nadu for misuse, intoxication, sale to minors, and as a substitute for highly intoxicating drugs. He further submitted that A4, Mohammed Umair Zamiruddin Golandaz/2nd petitioner was arrested, and 15,600 tablets were seized by the respondent police at Katpadi. He further submitted that investigation in this case is pending and it involved a large network of supplying tablets.



5. Considering the fact that huge quantity of tablets seized and the fact that both petitioners allegedly acted in collusion, and that the investigation is not concluded, granting bail would hamper the investigation, and the petitioners may indulge in similar activities. Hence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

31-10-2025

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

mpa

To

1.The principal Sessions Judge, Ranipet

2.The State Rep by, The Inspector of
Police,

Arokkonam PEW Police Station,

Cr.No.41/2025.

3.The Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 28886 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

**CRL OP No. 28886 of
2025**

31-10-2025