



Crl.OP.No.28901 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.28901 of 2025

Selvam

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Tamilnadu ,
Rep by Inspector of Police,
CBCID -North Kancheepuram
(Cr.No.1 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to grant anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in
Crime No.1 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Praveen

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archanna

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under



Crl.OP.No.28901 of 2025

Sections 465, 468, 471, 120B, 490, 420 and 109 of IPC in Cr.No.1 of 2025,

on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The Prosecution case is that the defacto complainant is the Power Agent of one A.T.Thilagavathi who is having 1.8 cents of land at Kazhipattur Village by utilizing the absence of the real owner, A1 to A3 colluded with other accused have created various fake documents and impersonating the owner and registered sale deeds in favour of various persons. After registration of the FIR, with an intention to make money, this petitioner has come forward to settle the issue between the parties and also facilitate the other accused to transfer funds for the purpose of negotiation. Hence, he is also arrayed as accused.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not benefited from any of the alleged transaction. He further submitted that the petitioner



is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and reported that totally, there are 42 accused involved in this case and the petitioner herein has been arrayed as A42 and originally A1 to A5 are the main accused who have created various documents and by manipulating the records to grab the land to the extent of 1.8 acres belong to defacto complainant. He further submitted that so far ten accused were arrested and other accused were absconding. The allegations against the petitioner is concerned, he has felicitated the other accused for negotiating and arranging sale of the property. However, investigation revealed that the petitioner has not received any money in the negotiations taken place between the parties. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the overt act attributed against this petitioner that he



has only acted to facilitate for resolving the issue and to take money, by negotiating between the parties, I am of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary and I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned Judicial **Magistrate-I, Chengalpattu** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.28901 of 2025



thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

20.11.2025

Vv



Crl.OP.No.28901 of 2025



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Chengalpattu

2. The Inspector of Police,
CBCID -North Kancheepuram.

3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.28901 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.28901 of 2025



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.28901 of 2025



20.11.2025