



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**CRL OP NO.28775 of 2025**

D.Gogul Krishnan

Petitioner

Vs

State Represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
CRP Police Station Coimbatore City,  
Coimbatore District.  
Crime No.297 of 2025.

Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.297 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



## **ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.09.2025, for the alleged offence punishable under Section 150(1)(a) of Indian Railway Act, 1989 in Crime No.297 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner joined hands with 6 other accused with a view to stop the train and rob money from the passengers. They placed huge wooden log on the railway track and the railway officials were able to see the incident and remove the wooden log. Hence, a case has been registered. The investigation revealed that the petitioner has involved in this case.

3. The learned for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was arrested purely on suspicion and that he is not involved in the offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested and he is in judicial custody since 24.09.2025. Hence, he prayed



for grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the respondent police recovered CCTV footage from the nearby area, which this petitioner joined hands with other accused involved in stopping of the train with the wooden log. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending. However, he opposes to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioner is involved in the alleged attempt to stop the railway train, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

**27-10-2025**



WEB COPY



drl

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.,**

drl

To

1.The Inspector of Police,  
CRP Police Station Coimbatore City,  
Coimbatore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor  
High Court, Madras.

**CRL OP NO.28775 of 2025**



WEB COPY



**27.10.2025**