



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.R.C.No.2332 of 2025 and Crl.M.P.No. 21070 of 2025

Praveen

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Keelapalur Police Station,
Ariyalur District.
(Cr.No.300 of 2023

... Respondent

PRAYER : This petition is filed under Section 397(1) r/w 401 of Cr.P.c (438 r/w 442 of BNSS) to call for the records in Crl.M.P.No.,6241 of 2024 in C.C.No.207 of 2025 dated 09.05.2025 passed by the Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur and set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr. K. Gandhi Kumar

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed to call for the records in Crl.M.P.No.,6241 of 2024 in C.C.No.207 of 2025 dated 09.05.2025 passed by the Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur and set aside the same.

2.The facts of the case is that the defacto complainant are neighbours and



there was land dispute between them which escalated into violence and assault.

Due to which the defacto complainant has given a complaint against the petitioner's family and the petitioner was also implicated in this case. After investigation the respondent police filed a deletion report on 25.11.2023, wherein it was clearly stated that the petitioner was not in the scene of occurrence and he was discharged from this case. In spite of the same the learned Judge has passed an order, wherein it was stated that the case will be proceeded against all the accused which includes the petitioner. Hence, the present Criminal Revision case came to be filed.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in spite of the deletion report filed the respondent police the same was not taken note by the learned Judge and has erroneously included the petitioner in the case. Hence, prays to allow this petition.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submitted that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, they have registered a case and filed the deletion report before the Court for perusal of the learned Judge.



WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. On a perusal of the records, it is seen that the petitioner was a student in Winner Training Centre, Ariyalur and the attendance register reveals that at the time of occurrence, the petitioner was in the training centre and the same was also acknowledged by the authorised person of the said training centre. Further more in the deletion report also it was stated that the petitioner was not in the scene of occurrence. When this being the case the petitioner has been implicated in the case.

7. In view of the above facts, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the order passed on 09.05.2025 by the Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur in CrI.M.P.No.,6241 of 2024 in C.C.No.207 of 2025 is set aside. Consequently the connected miscellaneous petition is closed. Considering the nature of order passed by the Trial Judge, **this Court directs The Director, Tamil Nadu state Judicial Academy, Chennai to impart training to the learned Judge, Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur.**

11.11.2025

smn

3/5



Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

- 1.The Additional Mahila Court, Ariyalur a
2. The Director, Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy, aja Annamalai puram
Chennai



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

smn

Crl.R.C.No.2332 of 2025 and
Crl.M.P.No. 21070 of 2025

11.11.2025