



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.28512 of 2025

1. Meena @ Yasotha
2. Naresh @ Naresh Kumar
3. Venkatesan
4. Sekar

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kanakkamachathram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Crime No.196 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.196
of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Jeremiah
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b),
351(3) of BNS and r/w Section 74 of BNS in Crime No.196 of 2025, seek
anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioners is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners abused and attacked the defacto complainant and her husband with wooden log. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons, and the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not necessary in this case and hence, prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and there is no previous cases pending against the petitioners. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, also considering the fact that the petitioners have no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Tiruttani**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

29.10.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Tiruttani.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Kanakmachathram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.28512 of 2025