



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.28399 of 2025

1.Kalimuthu
2.Senthil

... Petitioners

Versus

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Ulundurpet Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
Cr.No.545 of 2025

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.545 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Sathishkumar
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(A), 4(1-A)(ii) and 14(A) of



the Tamilnadu Prohibition (Amendment) Act, 2024 in Crime No.545 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 08.10.2025, based on secret information, the respondent police intercepted a car, wherein they found 240 bottles of blue grape, each containing 750 ml, being transported from Puducherry to Kallakurichi. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the first and second accused were arrested and are in judicial custody. He further submitted that the petitioners were only acted as pilots, providing information about police checks on the route and then only the transport vehicle proceeded. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally 10 accused in this case and the petitioners herein are ranked as A3 and A4. He further submitted that the arrested accused are still in judicial custody and some of the other accused are still absconding. Hence, he opposes for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made on both sides and the fact that the contraband/property involved is of huge quantity, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

17.10.2025

drl

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Ulundurpet Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.28399 of 2025

17.10.2025