



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 40669 of 2025

WEB COPY

1. J.Jagajothi

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Chengalpattu District, Office Of The
District Collector, Grand Southern
Trunk Road, Venbakkam,
Chengalpattu-603 111

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Tambaram Taluk, Taluk Office
Complex, Opp.Mepz, Kadaperi
Village, Gst Road, Tambaram West,
Chennai-600 045

3.The Tahsildar
Office Of The Tahsildar, Tambaram
Taluk, Taluk Office Complex, Opp
Mepz, Kadaperi Village, Gst Road,
Tambaram West, Chennai-600 045

4.Mr.Timothy

5.Mrs.Esther

6.Mr.Sagily George Mariya Joseph
(R4 To R6 Impleaded Vide Order Dated
05.11.2025 Made In Wmp.47585/2025
In Wp.40669/2025 By Navj)

Respondent(s)



PRAYER

directing the 3rd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 12.4.2025 and to take appropriate action to cancel the alleged patta No.1214 issued by the 3rd respondent

For Petitioner(s): Mr. R. Saravanan

For Respondent(s): Mr S. Arumugam
Government Advocate
For R1 To R3
Mr .R.Sankarakutralingam
For R6

Ms. Meenakshi Eswaran
For R4

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, seeking for a direction to the third respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 12.04.2025 and to take appropriate action to cancel the alleged patta No.1214 issued by the third respondent.

2. Eventhough the aforesaid relief has been sought for by the petitioner, the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions would submit that it would suffice if a direction is issued by this Court to the second respondent to dispose of the appeal filed by the petitioner, which is pending on the file of the second respondent within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

3. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents if such a direction as



sought for by the learned counsel for the petitioner is granted.

WEB COPY

4. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's appeal.

5. Since no adverse orders are passed against the private respondents in this writ petition, there is no necessity for the private respondents to file a counter.

6. For the foregoing reasons, this Court directs the second respondent to dispose of the appeal filed by the petitioner on 12.04.2025 aggrieved by the issuance of patta in favour of the private respondents for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of. No Costs. Consequently, connected writ miscellaneous petition is closed. While issuing the order copy, the Registry is directed to mention the name of Ms. Meenakshi Eswaran as counsel for R4.

03-12-2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes



Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ab

WEB COPY

To

1.The District Collector
Chengalpattu District, Office Of The
District Collector, Grand Southern
Trunk Road, Venbakkam,
Chengalpattu-603 111

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Tambaram Taluk, Taluk Office
Complex, Opp.Mepz, Kadaperi
Village, Gst Road, Tambaram West,
Chennai-600 045

3.The Tahsildar
Office Of The Tahsildar, Tambaram
Taluk, Taluk Office Complex, Opp
Mepz, Kadaperi Village, Gst Road,
Tambaram West, Chennai-600 045



WEB COPY

WP No. 40669 of 2025



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

ab

WP No. 40669 of 2025

03-12-2025