



WEB COPY



W.P.(CrI.) No.1092 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

W.P.(CrI.) No.1092 of 2025
and WPMP (CrI) No.521 of 2025

Meenakshi College for Women,
run by Indian Institute of Engineering Technology,
Dr.K.S.Lakshmi ... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Mambalam Police Station,
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017

2.The Asst. Commissioner of Police,
Mambalam Police Station,
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017

3.The Inspector of Police,
R2, Police Station,
Kodambakkam Chennai 600 024

4.V.Akila Devi

5.Dr.B.Sujatha

6.Dr.M.Vennila

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent police to evit the unlawful protestors from the premises of the writ petitioner and provide necessary and adequate police protection.



W.P.(Crl.) No.1092 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Chandrasekaran

For Respondents : Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan,
Addl. Public Prosecutor,
for respondents 1 to 3

Mr.P.T.Perumal,
for respondents 4 to 6

ORDER

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent police to evict the unlawful protestors from the premises of the writ petitioner and provide necessary and adequate police protection.

2. The case of the petitioner is that three faculty members viz., Dr.M,Vennila, Ms.V.Akila Devi and Dr.B.Sujatha deliberately refused to comply with the rules and regulations of the institution by not signing the attendance register twice daily, once for signing in and once for signing out. Therefore, the management withheld their career advancement and salary increments. Agitated by the same, the said teachers, who are respondents 4 to 6 indulged in a unlawful protest by remaining inside the campus. The petitioner had given a complaint to the respondent police. Since the respondents have not taken any action, the present writ petition has been

2/5



W.P.(Crl.) No.1092 of 2025

3. When the matter is taken up today, learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that coming to know of the filing of this case, the respondents 4 to 6 have left the premises and thereby the question of evicting them will not arise.

4. This court, on an earlier occasion, finding that the reason for the protest was on account of the dispute between the management and respondents 4, 5 and 6, had referred the matter for mediation to give a quietus to this issue. However, it is submitted by the parties that there is no settlement in the mediation.

5. Recording the same and finding that the writ petition has become infructuous, this writ petition stands closed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition also stands closed.

12.12.2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
MRN



W.P.(Crl.) No.1092 of 2025

To

- 1.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Mambalam Police Station,
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017
- 2.The Asst. Commissioner of Police,
Mambalam Police Station,
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
R2, Police Station,
Kodambakkam Chennai 600 024
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



W.P.(Crl.) No.1092 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

(MRN)

W.P.(Crl.) No.1092 of 2025

12.12.2025