



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **04.11.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.28471 of 2025

Anjana

... Petitioner

Versus

State rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thirupathur Town,
Thirupathur District.
(Crime No.287 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.287 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.J.Parthipan
For Respondent	:	Mr.S.Udayakumar
		Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For Intervener	:	Mr.K.Hemanathan

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 406, 417, 420, 468, 470 and 471 of IPC, in Crime No.287 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused Nos.1 to 3 approached the defacto complainant and induced him to invest in forex trading. To gain his confidence, they initially repaid small amounts and thereafter collected a huge sum of Rs.12.5 lakhs from the defacto complainant. Subsequently, the defacto complainant came to know that he had been cheated. During the course of investigation, it was revealed that the accused Nos.1 and 2 had jointly colluded and cheated to the tune of Rs.69 lakhs from four victims. It is further alleged that the petitioner herein had received a sum of Rs.6,19,500/- from the victims. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is that she had collected a sum of Rs.6,19,500/- from the defacto complainant, out of which she had already transferred a sum of Rs.2 lakhs to the account of the defacto complainant. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to deposit the remaining amount and, hence prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the intervener submitted that the total



amount involved in this case is Rs.69 lakhs and the petitioner had collected a sum of Rs.6.19 lakhs through her bank account and therefore the petitioner had actively participated in the act of cheating. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are three accused in this case and the petitioner herein is arrayed as A2. He further submitted that A1 and A3 have been arrested and are in judicial custody. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, and taking into account the fact that the petitioner is a lady and she has come forward to deposit the remaining amount that was received by her from the defacto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of



Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only), to the credit of Crime Number 287 of 2025 and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the *learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Thirupathur*, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the concerned Court daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



WEB COPY

learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.11.2025

drl

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Thirupathur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thirupathur Town,
Thirupathur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.28471 of 2025

(2/2)

04.11.2025