



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 27975 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO. 27975 of 2025

Pravinkumar

Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by

The Sub Inspector of Police

Padalam Police Station

Chengalpattu District

Crime No. 408 of 2025

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Cr.No.408 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Tamilselvan A.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), of BNS r/w Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No. 408 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that there was wordy quarrel in respect of "family dispute" between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant. The petitioner had trespassed into the house of the de-facto complainant, abused filthy language and assaulted the de-facto complainant with knife. Due to the impact, the de-facto complainant had sustained injuries. Hence the respondent police registered a case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that the victim has been discharged from the hospital. He further submits that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to



grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that there was a family dispute between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant, the victim has been discharged from the hospital and investigation has almost been completed. I am of the view that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fail to surrender before the



concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 27975 of 2025

e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

14.10.2025

MSM

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam.

2.The Sub Inspector of Police
Padalam Police Station
Chengalpattu District
Crime No. 408 of 2025

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 27975 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 27975 of 2025

14.10.2025