



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

WP No. 40803 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 45713 OF 2025, WMP NO. 45714 OF 2025

1. Tvl Varsha Fab and Roofing

Solutions

Rep By its Proprietor Mr I Ramesh

Babu, 8/8, Near Pilicon Granites P. Ltd

Moranapalli, Hosur-635 109

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Deputy State Tax Officer,

Hosur (north-ii) Circle, Hosur.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

calling for Respondent Order dated 26/04/2024 with Ref. No.
ZD33042416243W and quash the same

For Petitioner(s): Adithya Reddy
 Vaani Sreekant Iyer
 DHANESH
 JYOSHNA



WEB COPY

WP No. 40803 of 2025



For Respondent: Mr. TNC Kaushik
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

Mr. TNC Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the time of admission with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Additional Government Pleader for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order in FORM GST DRC-07 dated 26.04.2024 of the Respondent, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 29.12.2023 for the tax period between April 2018 and March 2019, wherein the Petitioner was also called upon to appear for personal hearing.

4. The Petitioner however did not file any reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 29.12.2023. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.



WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only after the recovery proceedings were initiated and a sum of Rs.5,58,331/- was recovered on 29.09.2025, the petitioner became aware of the impugned order dated 26.04.2024 and thus, filed this writ petition.

6. The learned Additional Government Pleader for the Respondent on the other hand would submit that this Writ Petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed in the light of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Singh Enterprises Vs. Commissioner of Central Excise, Jamshedpur and others, (2008) 3 SCC 70*** and in ***Commissioner of Customs and Central Excise Vs. Hongo India Private Limited and another, (2009) 5 SCC 791*** and also in ***Assistant Commissioner (CT) LTU, Kakinada and others Vs. Glaxo Smith Kline Consumer Health Care Limited, 2020 SCC Online SC 440.***

7. That apart, it is submitted that the Petitioner has not substantiated the case with any documents and therefore, on this count also, this Writ Petition is liable to be dismissed.



WEB COPY

8. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

9. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order subject to the Petitioner depositing 50% of the disputed tax in cash from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In case any recovery of tax has been made as has been stated by the petitioner that on 29.09.2025 a sum of Rs.5,58,331/- was recovered from the petitioner's bank account, no further pre-deposit will be required to be made. Therefore, the same shall be subject to verification by the respondent.



WEB COPY

10. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 29.12.2023 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 26.04.2024 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 29.12.2023.

11. Amount which has already recovered from the Petitioner shall be adjusted towards pre-deposit of 50% of the disputed tax as ordered above. This will be however subject to verification by the Respondent.

12. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner shall also stand automatically raised/vacated.



13. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.

14. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.

15. It is made clear that recovery of 50% of the disputed tax ordered above pertains only to the impugned Order dated 26.04.2024.

16. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations. No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

06-11-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ab



WP No. 40803 of 2025



To

1. The Deputy State Tax Officer,
Hosur (north-ii) Circle, Hosur.



WEB COPY

WP No. 40803 of 2025



C.SARAVANAN J.

ab

**WP No. 40803 of 2025
AND WMP NO. 45713
OF 2025, WMP NO.
45714 OF 2025**

06-11-2025