



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 28015 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 28015 of 2025

Chinnamani Nataraj

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police, Kottur Police
Station, Coimbatore District. Crime
No.224 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in Crime No.224 of 2025, on the file of the Respondent Police, and thus render justice.

For Petitioner: Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent(s): Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.08.2025, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 115, 351(3), 103 of BNS, in Crime No.224 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the victim are relatives. The victim is the ex-employee of the petitioner. Victim's friend Arjun worked as driver under the petitioner for the past one month. The petitioner has not paid the salary to Arjun. While so, on 08.08.2025, the petitioner asked Arjun to come to his house for receiving salary. Based on the same, on 08.08.2025, victim, Arjun and their friend Karthick had gone to the petitioner's house and demanded salary. At that time, the petitioner and A2 who is the son of the petitioner joined together abused the victim, Arjun and attacked them with reaper wood and on seeing the same, they attacked victim's friend Karthick by using reaper wood and caused injuries to them. Hence the complaint.



WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner was arrested and he is in judicial custody from 09.08.2025 and co-accused who is similarly placed released on bail in Crl.OP No.27258 of 2025 dated 03.10.2025 and is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner along with co-accused had attacked the victim and his friend by using reaper wood. He submitted that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail. Therefore, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.




WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel on either side and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and co-accused is released on bail and this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the *learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Pollachi*, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the concerned Judicial Magistrate at 10.30 a.m on every Monday to Friday and appear before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m on every Saturday and Sunday until further orders;



WEB COPY

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation

or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

14-10-2025


gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the WEB COPY official website of this Court.**
- 2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.**



CRL OP No. 28015 of 2025



To
WEB COPY

1.State rep. by

The Inspector of Police, Kottur Police
Station, Coimbatore District. Crime
No.224 of 2025

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.2,
Pollachi.

2.The Central Prison,
Coimbatore.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 28015 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 28015 of
2025**

14-10-2025