



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 27960 of 2025

BHARATH

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by Inspector of Police
Jolarpet Police Station, Tirupattur
District. Crime No. 143 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to_enlarge the accused/petitioner on bail pending investigation in Jolarpet PS Crime No.143 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M. Vinoth

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.05.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 109, 281 of BNS, in Crime No.143 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.

2.The allegation against this petitioner is that, as per the FIR registered by Jolarpet Police Station in Crime No.143 of 2025, the defacto complainant is the sister of the deceased, Sakaravarthy. On 17.02.2025, at about 6.30 am, the deceased allegedly murdered his uncle, Timarayan, due to a Civil dispute, and a case was registered against him, and he was subsequently granted bail with the condition to report to Sivakanchi Police Station, Kanchipuram District. Further, on 26.05.2025, at around 4.30 am, while the deceased, along with one Gowri, was walking near the TVS Showroom en route to Kanchipuram to comply with the bail conditions, a black Scorpio car driven by the petitioner/Accused No.1 in a rash and negligent manner dashed on them and caused injuries. Immediately thereafter, three other accused who were hiding nearby rushed to the spot and brutally attacked the deceased with knives, causing his death. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 26.05.2025 and has been falsely implicated in this case. Earlier, the petitioner was detained under Act 14 of 1982 (preventive detention), and the detention order was subsequently quashed, hence he prayed



for grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent police reported that this is a case of retaliatory murder, and if the petitioner is released on bail, there is a likelihood that his life will be in danger. He further submitted that the petitioner is the main accused in this case, who engaged the other accused and attacked the deceased, and hence opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the fact that this is a case of retaliatory murder, the petitioner is the main accused, and there is a previous enmity between the groups which is the root cause of the murder, I am of the view that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is a likelihood of further escalation of the offences. Hence I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

14-10-2025

gbi



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27960 of 2025





CRL OP No. 27960 of 2025



To

WEB COPY

- 1.State rep by Inspector of Police
Jolarpet Police Station, Tirupattur
District. Crime No. 143 of 2025
- 2.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27960 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 27960 of
2025**

14-10-2025