



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.32478 of 2024



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-01-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 32478 of 2024

Mubarak @ Mubarak Ali
S/o.Gouse Basha, No.1, Indira Nagar,
Villupuram-605 602.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police, Villupuram Town
Police Station, Villupuram District. (Crime No.
451 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

Saravanabhavan G
A.Santhanakrishnan

For Respondent(s):

Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 297 of BNS r/w 5, 7(3) of Lotteries Regulation Act in Crime No.451 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.32478 of 2024



2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 18.12.2024, based on secret information, the respondent police went to the spot and found the accused persons/ A1 had involved in selling banned other state lotteries through mobile phone, thereafter, the respondent police arrested A1 and based on his confession, the name of the petitioner has been included in this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case, based on the confession of the arrested accused. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, therefore, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for granting of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, stating that the co-accused and the petitioner were involved in



illegal selling of banned other state lotteries. He further submitted that the petitioner has 10 previous cases of similar nature.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case and the petitioner herein has 10 previous cases of similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

08.01.2025

stn

To

1. The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Villupuram Town Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No. 451 of 2024)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.32478 of 2024

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.32478 of 2024

08.01.2025