



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.32404 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **24-01-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 32404 of 2024

1.Meenakshi

2.T.Boopathy

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented By,
The Inspector of Police,
V-5, Thirumangalam Police Station,
Chennai.

Crime No.48 of 2022

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

M/s.Saritha Sivakumar

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Santhosh

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.48 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 466 and 468 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seek indulgence of this court.



Crl.O.P.No.32404 of 2024

She would submit that there are civil disputes pending between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, who is the landlord in order to evict the petitioners by force, he by himself has produced an application in the name of the petitioners by forging the signature. She would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of anticipatory bail is that, by forging the signature of the defacto complainant, the petitioners had obtained regular electricity connection by changing it from commercial connection. When it was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioners threatened him with dire consequences. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.No.32404 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Metropolitan Magistrate-XIII, Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.32404 of 2024

learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.01.2025

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.32404 of 2024

24.01.2025