



WEB COPY

CMP No. 1848 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-06-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE R. SUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL**

**CMP No. 1848 of 2025
in WA No.1636 of 2024**

The District Revenue Officer
District collector office, Krishnagiri.

Petitioner/2nd Respondent

Vs

1. S.Seenivasa Rao,
- 2.The District Collector,
District collector office, Krishnagiri.
- 3.The Assistant Director
Survey and Land Records Department,
District Collector office,
Krishnagiri District.
- 4.The Sub Collector
Sub Collector Office, Hosur,
Krishnagiri District.



5.The Tahsildar
Taluk Office, Shoolagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

WEB COPY

6.Mohan

7.Sivaji

...Respondents

PRAYER

To modify the order dated 19.07.2024 made in WA No. 1636 of 2024.

For Petitioner: Mr.B.Vijay,
for M/s. A.Maheshnath

For Respondents: Mr. R.Bharath Kumar, for R1

Mr.P. Anandakumar,
Government Advocate,
for RR2 to 5

Ms.R.Poornima, for R6

No appearance - R7

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by R.Subramanian J.)

By our order dated 19.07.2024, while imposing a cost of Rs.1,00,000/- on
the State, we have directed a recovery of the said sum from the salary of the



second respondent in the Writ Appeal.


WEB COPY

2. The second respondent/petitioner is before us seeking modification of the order regarding payment of cost. When the matter came up yesterday, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in this miscellaneous petition represented that the second respondent/petitioner would pay the cost from and out of his pocket so that recovery proceedings could be avoided.

3. Hence, we adjourned the matter today. Today, when the matter was called, the learned counsel for the second respondent/petitioner has produced a Bank challan to show that a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- to the credit of the account of the appellant in the Appeal.

4. In view of the said deposit, the last four sentences in paragraph 27 of the order dated 19.07.2024, which read as follows:

“The State is therefore, directed to pay cost of Rs.1,00,000/-



to the petitioner/appellant within a period of four weeks from today.

If it is so advised or if it is so desired the State can recover the costs from the salary of the second respondent who passed the order impugned in the Writ Petition.”

are modified as follows:

The second respondent/petitioner will pay the cost of Rs.1,00,000/- and the said payment shall not have any effect on the Service Records of the second respondent.

5. Accordingly, this miscellaneous petition is closed.

(R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.) (R.SAKTHIVEL, J.)

20-06-2025

Index:No

Speaking Order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:No



To
WEB COPY

1.S.Seenivasa Rao,
S/o.Late N.Sam Rao, No.4/8, Bazaar
Street, Shoolagiri, Krishnagiri District.

2.The District Collector,
District collector office, Krishnagiri.

3.The Assistant Director
Survey and Land Records Department,
District Collector office, Krishnagiri
District.

4.The Sub Collector
Sub Collector Office, Hosur,
Krishnagiri District.

5.The Tahsildar
Taluk Office, Shoolagiri, Krishnagiri
District.

6.Mohan
S/o.Muniappa Naidu, Marudhandapalli
Village, Shoolagiri Taluk Krishnagiri.

7.Sivaji
S/o.Rajagopal Naidu, Marudhandapalli
Village, Shoolagiri Taluk Krishnagiri.



WEB COPY

CMP No. 1848 of 2025



R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
AND
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

jv

CMP No. 1848 of 2025
in WA No.1636 of 2024

20-06-2025