



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CrI.O.P.No. 27885 of 2025

Latha

Petitioner/A3

Vs

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police

Vaniyambadi Taluk Police Station

Tirupattur District

Crime No. 361 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Crime No. 361 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.D.Sugumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(A) and 4(1)(C) in Crime No.361 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that 23.09.2025 at about 3.00 pm., when the respondent police were on routine rounds near Sekkumedu Village, the petitioner and two others were sitting at the bus stand with a white coloured plastic bag, on interrogation revealed that they were in possession of 40 bottles of “Vorion XXX Rum” (180 ml each); 20 bottles of “Express Brandy” (180 ml each) and 10 bottles of “Golden Brandy” (180 ml each) a total of 70 bottles. Hence, the respondent police recovered the same. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case based on confession of A1 and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is being a lady and is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that the petitioner is having 7 previous cases and therefore, the learned Government Advocate opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Taking note of the fact that the gravity of offence committed by the petitioner and the petitioner is having 7 previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

22.10.2025

MSM

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Vaniyambadi Taluk Police Station
Tirupattur District
Crime No. 361 of 2025.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

CRL OP NO. 27885 of 2025

22.10.2025