



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.27761 & 27823 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.Nos.27761 & 27823 of 2025

Sugumar ... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.27761 of 2025
N.Sivakumar ... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.27823 of 2025
Vs.

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Tiruppur Central Police Station,
Tiruppur City.
Crime No.435 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory
bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.435 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner

in Crl.O.P.No.27761 of 2025 : Mr.Sadam Hussain J

For Petitioner

in Crl.O.P.No.27823 of 2025 : Mr.Rajadurai

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



Crl.O.P.Nos.27761 & 27823 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 329(4) of BNS and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002 in Crime No.435 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners are neighbours of the defacto complainant and they had quarrel with the defacto complainant. On 29.09.2025 at about 02.00 a.m., the relative of the defacto complainant visited the house of the defact complainant and at that time, petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and damaged the properties. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the



Crl.O.P.Nos.27761 & 27823 of 2025

respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that, investigation is pending.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the manner in which the occurrence had taken place and the fact that huge property belongs to the defacto complainant has been damaged by the petitioners at night hours, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

13.10.2025

sma



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.27761 & 27823 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

sma

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Tiruppur Central Police Station,
Tiruppur City.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.Nos.27761 & 27823 of 2025

13.10.2025