



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.27715 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.27715 of 2025

1. Ramani
2. R. Gopinathan

... Petitioners/ A2 & A3

Vs

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam, Anna Nagar,
Chennai.
(Crime No.45 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. C. S. Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 69 and 296(b) of BNS in Crime No.45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the son of the petitioners herein namely Adithyan/ A1 had love affair with the defacto complainant, who is aged about 28 years; that on false promise of marrying her, they had sexual inter course; that while the same was known to both families, they planned to organize marriage between A1 and the defacto complainant and steps for the marriage has also been initiated by depositing amount towards booking the hall for marriage; that while so on 29.09.2025, A1 contacted the defacto complainant over phone and on the instigation of the petitioners herein demanded 50 sovereigns of gold jewels and thus stopped the marriage. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that a false complaint has been lodged against the petitioners and they have not



WEB COPY

involved in any of the offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioners herein are mother and father of A1, who had cheated the defacto complainant under the pretext of marriage, and A1 had sexual intercourse with her and subsequently stopped the marriage by demanding dowry; and that the investigation is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, the nature of allegation, the age of the petitioners and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days



WEB COPY


from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned



WEB COPY

Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble
Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13
SCC 283];

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

10.10.2025

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Ambattur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam, Anna Nagar,
Chennai.
(Crime No.45 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27715 of 2025

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.27715 of 2025

10.10.2025