



Crl.O.P.Nos.456 & 462 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.01.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.Nos. 456 & 462 of 2025

Ranjithkumar

... Petitioner in
Crl.O.P.No.456/2025

1. Annadurai
2. Murugan @ Babu Ramu
3. Tamilmani

...Petitioners in
Crl.O.P.No.462/2025

Vs.

The State represented by:
Inspector of Police,
Meensurutti Police Station, Meensurutti.
In Crime No.300/2024.

... Respondent in
both Crl.O.Ps

COMMON PRAYER : Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant bail to the petitioners in connection with Crime No.300 of 2024 registered by the respondent Police.

For Petitioner (s)
in both Crl.O.Ps : Mr.Ramprakash Rajagopal
For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Petitions seeking bail in respect of Crime No. 300 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 309(5) and 312 of BNS, 2023 is on board for consideration.

2.The incarceration of the petitioners being from 30.11.2024 pleading



Crl.O.P.Nos.456 & 462 of 2025

innocence on the part of the petitioners and false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks indulgence of this Court. He also submits that the petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offences and he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this court.

3.The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for grant of bail, is that the petitioners, along with other accused, threatened the de facto complainant at knife-point and attempted to rob a five-sovereign gold chain from the de facto complainant. He further submits that the offence committed by the petitioners is serious in nature and that they are habitual offenders, with numerous pending cases across various districts, police stations, and crime numbers.

4.Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions and accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on each of them executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, (out of which, one surety should be a blood related surety) each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial



Crl.O.P.Nos.456 & 462 of 2025

Magistrate No.II Jayamkondam, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 06.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

09.01.2025

sai



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.456 & 462 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.
sai

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II
Jayamkondam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Meensurutti Police Station,
Meensurutti.
3. The Superintendent,
Sub Jail, Jayamkondam.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.Nos.456 & 462 of 2025

09.01.2025