



WP No. 39601 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 39601 of 2025

Annadurai,
S/o Late Muthu,
No 2 / 49, Ambedkar Street Thaluthalai Village,
Veppanthattai Taluk, Perambalur.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
No 100, Snathome High Road,
Chennai 600 028.

2.The Sub Registrar,
Veppanthattai Registrar Office,
Perambalur District.

3.Sentamil Selvi,
W/o Ravi,
No 2/39 Ambedkar Street,
Thaluthalai Village, Veppanthattai Taluk



WP No. 39601 of 2025

Permabalur District.

4.Srinivasan,
S/o Raja Gounder,
No 6/260, Arumugam Nagar,
Mummudi Village, Talavasal Taluk,
Salem District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the 2nd respondent for entertaining the document submitted by the 3rd and 4th respondent with regard to old survey No 179/2 to an extent Acre 1.92 Cents UDR survey No 57/2 to an extent hectare 0.77.50 Acre in patta No 1 and 2 situated at Vengalam West Village, Vepanthattai Sub-Registrar Office, Peramablur District pending suit No 929 of 2019, on the file of the District Munisif Court, Veppanthattai and consequently consider protest petition, dated 16.09.2025.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Silambarasan

For Respondent: Mr.P.Harish
Government Advocate
for R1 & R2

ORDER



WP No. 39601 of 2025

WEB COPY

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking to forbear the 2nd respondent from entertaining the document submitted by the respondents 3 & 4 with regard to old survey No 179/2, to an extent Acre 1.92 Cents, UDR survey No 57/2, to an extent hectare 0.77.50 Are in patta No 1 and 2 situated at Vengalam West Village, Vepanthattai Sub-Registrar Office, Peramablur District and to direct the 2nd respondent to consider the protest petition dated 16.09.2025 filed by the petitioner.

2.Mr.P.Harish, learned Government Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 & 2. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the subject property was purchased by the petitioner's father on 29.08.1981 and the petitioner's father died intestate on 22.07.1982, leaving behind his six children. The petitioner, and his two sisters viz., Jagathambal and Amudha were born to



WP No. 39601 of 2025

WEB COPY

the petitioner's father Late Muthu and his first wife Saroja. The other three legal heirs viz., Mani, Ravi and Baby were born to Late Muthu and his second wife Pooncholai. The petitioner has filed a partition suit in O.S.No.929 of 2019 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Veppanthattai seeking for separate possession of his lawful share in the subject property. During the pendency of the suit, suppressing the pending proceedings, the second wife of the petitioner's father and her sons Ravi and Mani executed a sale deed in favour of Ravi's wife/the 3rd respondent herein. Therefore, the petitioner filed a protest petition on 17.03.2025, before the 2nd respondent objecting to register any of the document in respect of the subject property. However, in spite of the protest petition, the 2nd respondent entertained and registered a sale agreement in favour of the 4th respondent executed by the 3rd respondent. Therefore, the petitioner again filed a protest petition dated 16.09.2025, requesting the 2nd respondent not to register any further dealings in the subject property. Though the said protest petition was duly served on the 2nd respondent, there is no response from the 2nd respondent till date. Hence, the present writ petition has been filed.



WP No. 39601 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.Learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 & 2 would submit that if the protest petition has filed in a proper format on a 100 rupees stamp paper, the 2nd respondent will consider the same and conduct enquiry by issuing notice to the petitioner as well as the other parties concerned in the event any document is presented for registration in respect of the subject property by the respondents 3 & 4.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 & 2.

6.Considering the submissions made by either parties, this Court directs the 2nd respondent to conduct enquiry in the event the respondents 3 & 4 presented any document for registration with regard to the subject property after issuing notice to the petitioner as well as the other parties concerned and thereafter, take a decision regarding the registration, in accordance with law.



WP No. 39601 of 2025

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

23-10-2025

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

To

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
No 100, Snathome High Road,
Chennai 600 028.

2.The Sub Registrar,
Veppanthattai Registrar Office,
Perambalur District.



WP No. 39601 of 2025



WEB COPY



WP No. 39601 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No.39601 of 2025

23-10-2025