



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

CRL OP No. 27954 of 2025

and

CRL MP Nos.18944 & 18945 of 2025

S.Kumaravel

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep by, The Inspector of
Police,
Karumalaikoodal Police Station,
Mettur, Salem. Cr.No.18/2025.

2.Kanakambal

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the charge sheet vide CC No.55/2025 dated 12.02.2025 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate-II, Mettur, Salem and to quash the same.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):	Mr.S.Nirmal Aditya J.Antony Jerald
For R1	Mr.R.Vinothraja Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
R2	Appeared in person

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for the records relating to the charge sheet filed in CC No.55/2025 dated 12.02.2025 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate-II, Mettur, Salem and to quash the same.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the first respondent-Police and perused the materials available on record.

3. It is the case of the prosecution that due to property dispute between the family members, the petitioner quarrelled with the de facto complainant and her family members and abused them with filthy language and beaten them using hands and log due to which, caused simple injuries. Hence, the de facto complainant who is the wife of the petitioner's brother lodged a complaint before the first respondent-Police Station. The first respondent Police registered



F.I.R in Crime No.18 of 2025 as against the accused persons, for the offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 324(5), 351(3) of BNS and 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act. After completion of investigation, the first respondent-Police filed a charge sheet and the same was taken on file in CC No.55/2025 dated 12.02.2025 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate-II, Mettur, Salem.

4. Mr.K.K.Mahalingam, SSI, Karumalaikoodal Police Station, Salem District was present before this Court and he informed this Court that the *de facto* complainant and the petitioner had approached him and informed that since they have amicably settled the dispute between them, they do not want to proceed further with the criminal proceedings.

5. The *de facto* complainant is also present before this Court at the time of hearing. This Court enquired the *de facto* complainant and she had stated that they had amicably settled the dispute between themselves and she is not willing to proceed with the criminal proceedings and seeks to quash the same.



6. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing on behalf of the first respondent-Police submitted that though the parties entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the nature of the offence, has to consider the issue as to whether an offence of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

7. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is, as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioner. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarath*, reported in *2017 9 SCC 641* and in case of *The State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Dhruv Gurjar and Another* reported in *(2019) 2 MLJ Crl 10*, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C/Section 528 of BNSS, to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society



with overriding public interest even if it gets settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

8. In the present case, the offence in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant and quashing the proceedings, will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings.

9. However, in the present case, the wrong is basically to the victim. The offender and the victim have now settled all the dispute between them amicably. Further, the petitioner as well as the *de facto* complainant have filed separate affidavits to the effect that they have entered into this compromise to ensure a well-being and peaceful future. In view of the unambiguous statements given by both the parties, this Court is of the view that the continuation of criminal proceedings will not serve any purpose and it would only prolong distress for all concerned, especially when the *de facto* complainant has specifically mentioned that she had settled the issues with the petitioner so as to maintain the harmony in their life in future. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the



Final Report filed in the case in CC No.55/2025 dated 12.02.2025 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate-II, Mettur, Salem in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C/528 of BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the case in CC No.55/2025 dated 12.02.2025 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate-II, Mettur, Salem, is quashed as against the petitioner. The Joint Compromise Memo and separate affidavits filed by the petitioner and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

14-10-2025

mfa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To
WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Mettur,
Salem

2. The Inspector of Police,
Karumalaikoodal Police Station,
Mettur, Salem. Cr.No.18/2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27954 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

mfa

**CRL OP No. 27954 of 2025
and
CRL MP Nos.18944 & 18945
of 2025**

14-10-2025