



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 13.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No. 30862 of 2025

V.Sreenivasan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Erode District.

2.Ganesan Kumar @ Ganesaperumal

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to call for the records pertaining to the FIR in Crime No.2 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent herein and to quash the same with respect to the petitioner herein.



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr. Roshan Atiq. M
For Respondent 1 : Mr. R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)
For Respondent 2 : Dr. P.G.Santhosh Kumar

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash FIR in
Crime No.2 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent.

2. The FIR has been registered based on the complaint lodged
by the *de facto* complaint with regard to civil disputes between the
petitioner and the *de facto* complainant.

3. The petitioner has filed an affidavit and he, along with the



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

second respondent, have filed a Joint Compromise Memo, wherein, it

has been stated that the petitioner and the second respondent, have amicably settled the issue between themselves and hence, seek to quash FIR in Crime No.2 of 2025, on the file of the first respondent.

4. Mrs. S.Priya, Sub Inspector of Police, District Crime Branch, Erode District, was present before this Court and she informed this Court that the *de facto* complainant and the petitioner had approached her and informed that since they have amicably settled the dispute between them, they do not want to proceed further with the criminal proceedings.

5. The *de facto* complainant was also present before this Court at the time of hearing. This Court enquired the *de facto* complainant and he had stated that they had amicably settled the dispute between



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

themselves and he is not willing to proceed with the criminal proceedings and seeks to quash the same.

6. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offence, has to consider the issue as to whether an offence of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

7. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*, reported in *2017 9 SCC 641*, has



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this

WEB COPY Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

8. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

9. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash FIR in Crime No.2 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

10. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is disposed of and the FIR in Crime No.2 of 2025 on the file of the first respondent, is quashed on condition that the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA), High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The affidavit and the Joint Compromise Memo filed by the petitioner and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

13.11.2025

WEB COPY

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

kan

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Erode District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

kan

Crl.O.P.No. 30862 of 2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30862 of 2025

13.11.2025