



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27641 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.27641 of 2025

1.Ramkumar
2.Silambu @ Silambarasan
3.Manikandan

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Keezhkuppam Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
Crime No.182 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.182 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.D.Babu Varadharajan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



Crl.O.P.No.27641 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.09.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 109 of BNS, in Crime No.182 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners belong to one group in a village, and enmity prevailed between two groups, which resulted in the registration of an earlier case. Subsequently, on the date of occurrence, at about 4 p.m., there was an altercation between the de facto complainant's family members and the accused, which was pacified by the village elders. However, on the same day, at about 5 p.m., the petitioners, along with other accused, went to the house of the de facto complainant, asked him to come out, and attacked him with deadly weapons, causing serious injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the de facto complainant's group had attacked the



Crl.O.P.No.27641 of 2025

accused group, and the petitioners used weapons only in self defence. He also submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners sustained injuries as well. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that it is a case of case in counter. There are totally 16 accused and the petitioners are arrayed as A2, A11 and A13. The 1st petitioner/A2 attacked the de facto complainant and his father with Koduval, 2nd petitioner/A11 attacked with iron rod and the 3rd petitioner/A13 attacked with stones. The injured is still undergoing treatment in hospital, the investigation has not yet been completed, and some of the accused are absconding. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail.

5. Considering the manner in which the occurrence took place, the fact that the injured is still undergoing treatment, and that the investigation is pending, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27641 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

cda

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

09.10.2025

cda

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Keezhkuppam Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.27641 of 2025