



WEB COPY



W.P.No.42335 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 11.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR . JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

W.P.No.42335 of 2025

Mr.R.Suresh

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry
High Court Campus
Chennai – 600 104

2. A.Senthilkumar

...Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a Writ of Mandamus directing the Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and Puducherry to initiate disciplinary proceedings and take appropriate action against the second respondent for professional misconduct, suppression of material facts and for continuing legal practice despite being involved in multiple criminal case.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Jeevanandhanam



WEB COPY



W.P.No.42335 of 2025

For Respondents : Mr.C.K.Chandrasekar
Standing counsel for R1

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.)

The petitioner seeks a direction to the first respondent to take action against the second respondent for his professional misconduct.

2. According to the petitioner, the second respondent, who has enrolled as an Advocate on 31.07.2025, is facing several criminal proceedings viz., FIR No.191 of 2022 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC read with Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Women Harassment (Prevention) Act, FIR No.251 of 2022 for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 294(b) and 323 of IPC and FIR No.260 of 2022 for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 324 and 506(2) of IPC and therefore, seeks for action against the second respondent for his misconduct.

3. We have carefully perused the entire materials.

4. A careful perusal of the first information report clearly indicates that due to some trivial disputes, complaints have been given against the second respondent by the father of the petitioner and the same is under investigation.



W.P.No.42335 of 2025

5. It is seen that the criminal cases have been filed only at the instance of the petitioner and the same cannot be a ground to direct the Bar council to take action for misconduct. If the charges have been proved against the second respondent, then the petitioner may file a necessary complaint before the Bar Council.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

[N.S.K.,J.] [M.J.R.,J.]
11.11.2025

Index: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
gpa

To

The Bar Council of Tamil Nadu
High Court Campus
Chennai – 600 104



WEB COPY



W.P.No.42335 of 2025

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.,
AND
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.,

gpa

W.P.No.42335 of 2025

11.11.2025