



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**CRL OP No. 27716 of 2025**

1. Dheepan Chakravarthi
2. Deepak Raja

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
E-1, Singanallur Police Station,
Coimbatore City.

2. Vengadesh

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C/528 of BNSS, to call for the records pending on the file of the 1st respondent police, namely, the Inspector of Police, E-1, Singanallur Police Station, Coimbatore City, in Crime No.225 of 2025 and quash the Criminal Proceeding.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondent(s): Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan
Additional Public Prosecutor for R1

**ORDER**

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.225 of 2025, pending on the file of the first respondent, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the de facto complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the first respondent.

3. Based on the complaint given by the de facto complainant/R2, a case in Crime No.225 of 2025 was registered for the offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118 (1) & 351(3) of BNS.

4. The petitioners have stated that they have settled the dispute with the de facto complainant amicably and hence, seek to quash the First Information Report as against them. They have also filed an affidavit and a Joint Memo of Compromise to that effect.



5. The petitioners and the de-facto complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and were identified by their respective counsel as well as by Mr.S.Sasikanth, SSI, E-1, Singanallur Police Station, Coimbatore City.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the de facto complainant stated that he has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioners and he is not willing to pursue the the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offence, has to consider the issue as to whether an offence of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme



Court, in the case of *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath*,

reported in *2017 9 SCC 641* and in case of *The State of Madhya Pradesh Vs.*

Dhruv Gurjar and Another reported in *(2019) 2 MLJ Crl 10*, has given

sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while

exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to quash non-

compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that

the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual

in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The

Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with

overriding public interest even if it gets settled between the parties, cannot be

quashed by this Court.

9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely

individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioners and

the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any

overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in

continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is

inclined to quash the First Information Report registered in Crime No.225 of



2025 pending on the file of the first respondent in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

WEB COPY

10. In view of the above, the First Information Report in Crime No.225 of 2025 pending on the file of the first respondent, is quashed as against the petitioners and this Criminal Original Petition is allowed on condition that the petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) jointly as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA), High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of one (1) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The affidavit and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioners and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of this order.

Index:Yes/No

27-10-2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

sli

To

1.The Inspector of Police

E-1, Singanallur Police Station,
Coimbatore City.

2.The Public Prosecutor,

High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

6/6

CRL OP No. 27716 of 2025



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA J.

sli

CRL OP No. 27716 of 2025

27-10-2025