



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.27549 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.27549 of 2025

Johnson

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police
Sholavaram Police Station
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.428 of 2025.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending
investigation in Crime No.428 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : M/s. P.Chandrasekar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
13.08.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B) and
29(1) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 in Crime
No. 428 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons, who were



WEB COPY

arrayed as A1 to A3 were arrested and 1.150 Kilograms of ganja were seized. During investigation, it is revealed that this petitioner used to supply the same and the respondent police seized the contraband supplied by the petitioner herein.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that co-accused in this case were enlarged on bail. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that totally there are 7 accused in this case and this petitioner was arrayed as A6. He also submits that petitioner along with others were found in illegal possession of 1.150 Kilograms of ganja. He also submits that there are 11 previous cases pending against this petitioner out of which two were similar in nature. He also submits that petitioner is the supplier of the contraband. Hence, he opposed to grant bail.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.27549 of 2025



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, taking into account the fact that the contraband was supplied by the petitioner and that he has bad antecedent, also the fact that investigation in this case is not yet concluded, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

08.10.2025

nr

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Ponneri.

2. The Inspector of Police
Sholavaram Police Station
Thiruvallur District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.27549 of 2025

nr

Crl.O.P.No.27549 of 2025

08.10.2025