



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.68 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **20-01-2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP NO. 68 of 2025

1.V.R.Lokesh Babu
2.V.R.Dhanalakshmi
3.C.J.Kavitha
4.C.Jaya Krishna

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Represented By, Inspector Of Police
W18 MKB Nagar Police Station, MKB Nagar,
Chennai - 600 039.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s):

M/s.S.Vennila

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Santhosh

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.19 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 85 of BNS, 2023, the present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Pleading innocence on the part of the petitioners, false implication in the case, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks indulgence of this court. He would submit that the first petitioner has filed a divorce petition in



Crl.O.P.No.68 of 2025

H.M.O.P.No. 4212 of 2024, pending on the file of the III Additional Family Court, Chennai and as a counter blast, the defacto complainant has given a false complaint. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

3. The case of the prosecution as putforth by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, opposing for the grant of anticipatory bail, is that, the defacto complainant was married to the first petitioner on 09.12.2021, and at the time of marriage 80 sovereigns of gold was given, further allegation was that the first accused along with other family members demanded more dowry from the defacto complainant and driven out of her matrimonial house by causing cruelty.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the



Crl.O.P.No.68 of 2025

date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **X Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai-600 008**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders, petitioners two to four shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.68 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

dsn

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

20.01.2025

dsn

Crl.O.P.No.68 of 2025

20.01.2025