



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27477 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.27477 of 2025

Vetriselvan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Puzhal Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.712 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.712 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Muthupandi

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



CrI.O.P.No.27477 of 2025


ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 309(4) and 311 of BNS, in Crime No.712 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant, who is doing real estate business and owns property at Puthagaram Village, was often demanded mamool by the petitioner. On 16.08.2025, the petitioner called and demanded Rs.5,00,000/- and threatened him with dire consequences. On 17.08.2025, when the de facto complainant went to his property, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid him, threatened him with weapons, and snatched his car. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CrI.O.P.No.27477 of 2025


WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed the grant of anticipatory bail, reiterating the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally 5 accused in this case and the petitioner is ranked as A4. He further submitted that the co-accused, A1, A2 and A3 have already been released on bail and no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, taking into fact that the co-accused have already been released on bail and no previous case is pending against him, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Madhavaram**, on condition that the petitioner shall



Crl.O.P.No.27477 of 2025

WEB COPY

execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)**

with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned

Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police for a period of two weeks, and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;



Crl.O.P.No.27477 of 2025

WEB COPY

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

10.10.2025

cda

To

- 1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Madhavaram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Puzhal Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27477 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.27477 of 2025

10.10.2025