



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **03.10.2025**

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

1.Nathiya
2.Sheeba

... Petitioners

Versus

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
P-1 Pulianthope Police Station, Perambur Barracks,
Chennai – 600 012.
(Crime No.318 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,(BNSS), 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime.No.318 of 2025 pending on the file of the Inspector of Police, P-1 Pulianthope Police Station, Chennai – 600 012.

For Petitioners : Mr. R. Shriharan

For Respondent : Mr. S. Santhosh,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025


WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 8(c) r/w Sections 20(b)(ii)(B), and 29(1) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (NDPS) Act, 1985, in Crime No.318 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, based on a secret information, the respondent police proceeded to the spot and conducted an inspection, during which they found the accused persons, along with others, in illegal possession of 1.530 kilograms of Ganja. Upon investigation, it was revealed that the petitioners were also involved in the aforesaid offence. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners have no bad antecedents and never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertakes to



Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

WEB COPY

appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioners, along with other accused, were involved in possessing 1.530 kilograms of Ganja, and using the same for illegal sale; that the petitioners have no previous cases; and that the investigation is still pending.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation against the petitioners, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioners have no previous cases pending against them and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned X Metropolitan Magistrate at Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during the investigation or during the trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

investigation or during the trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners were released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

03.10.2025

klt

Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.**
- 2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.**



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

klt

To

- 1.The learned X Metropolitan Magistrate at Egmore, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
P-1 Pulianthope Police Station, Perambur Barracks,
Chennai – 600 012.
(Crime No.318 fo 2025)
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras, Chennai – 104.

Crl.O.P.No.27344 of 2025

03.10.2025