



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27197 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CRL OP No. 27197 of 2025

1. Shanmuga Karthick Janakiraman
S/o. Janakiraman, Villa No. 365,
Alliance Humming Garden Survey, No.
1373/284, Thayyar, Old
Mahabalipuram Road,
Keelam6bakkam -630 103

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State of Tamilnadu, Rep. by
Inspector of Police
W23, All Women Police Station,
Royapettah, Chennai- 600 014.
Cr. No.28 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the Petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of arrest at the hands of the respondent police pending investigation in Crime No. 28 of 2025, on the file of the Inspector of Police, W-23 All Women Police Station, Royapetta, Chennai – 14.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27197 of 2025



For Petitioner : M/s.R.Aparna

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 75(2) and 296(B) of B.N.S, 2023, in Crime No.28 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner's brother on a false promise of marriage, had a sexual relationship with the defacto complainant and later failed to keep up his promise. Hence, the case.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner has never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertakes to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.




5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned 18th Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.



WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].


[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

03.10.2025

kas

Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.*
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



CRL OP No. 27197 of 2025



To

1. The Inspector of Police
W23, All Women Police Station,
Royapettah, Chennai- 600 014.
Cr. No.28 of 2025.

2. The 18th Metropolitan Magistrate,
Saidapet, Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27197 of 2025



N.SENTHILKUMAR J.

kas

CRL OP No. 27197 of 2025

03-10-2025