



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.10.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

CrI.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

N. Senthil Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore
(Crime No.689 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.689 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C. Gunasekaran

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS Act in Crime No.689 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



Cr1.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is a account holder in IndusInd Bank. The allegation is that the bank manager had frozen the petitioner's bank account as cyber crime complaint was registered against the petitioner. When the petitioner enquired about the same with the bank manager, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, which escalated into violence and assault. Hence the complaint.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Cr1. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Cr1. Side) appearing for the respondent police and



Cr1.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

perused the materials available on record.

6.Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and the injured was discharged from the hospital, the petitioner and since custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2 at Coimbatore** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate/Judge concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent police**



Cr1.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

WEB COPY

daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

03.10.2025


smn/kas

Note:-

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

4/6



Cr1.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.2
Coimbatore

2. The Inspector of Police
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore

3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.
smn/kas



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.27097 of 2025

03.10.2025