



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27079 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

Crl.O.P No. 27079 of 2025

1. Karthik

S/o. Balamurugan, New Street,
Vilapakkam, Arcot Taluk, Ranipet
District. Ramamoorthy, and 3 Others

2. Jagadeesh

S/o. Adhimoolam, No.44/9A, Arcot
Road, Vilapakkam, Arcot Taluk,
Ranipet District.

3. Mohan

S/o. Jayapal, No.39/40, Iyangulam,
Vilapakkam, Arcot Taluk, Ranipet
District.

4. Murali

S/o. Sampath, No.27/30,
Droupathiamman Koil Street,
Vilapakkam, Arcot Taluk, Ranipet
District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs



1. State Rep. by, Inspector of police,
Thimiri Police Station, Ranipet District.
Crime No. 252 of 2025

WEB COPY

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime. No.252/2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.Thirumoorthy D


For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 324(4) and 351 (2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.252 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant had parked his two wheeler in front of his residence and that when the petitioners were travelling in the car, the car dashed against the two wheeler. Hence, there was a wordy quarrel between them. Hence, the case.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners have never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioners are ready to produce solvent sureties and to



abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertakes to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6.Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate – I, Walajah**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand



dismissed and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].


[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

03.10.2025

kas

Note:-

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.*
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



CRL OP No. 27079 of 2025



1. The Inspector of police,
Thimiri Police Station, Ranipet District.
Crime No. 252 of 2025.

2. The Judicial Magistrate – I, Walajah.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 27079 of 2025



N.SENTHILKUMAR J.

kas

Crl.O.P No. 27079 of 2025

03-10-2025