



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 10.02.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN

W.P.No.3214 of 2025

R.Ponnambalam

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. Government of Tamil Nadu

Rep. by the Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

2. The Director of School Education,
Chennai – 600 006.

3. The Chief Educational Officer,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

4. The Headmaster,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Mazhaiyur,
Thiruvannamalai District.

.. Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to rectify the anomaly of junior drawing more pay than senior and to step up my pay on par with Junior Thiru.D.Sivaraman, Graduate Teacher (History) w.e.f.,



24.10.2002 onwards and to refix the petitioner pay accordingly and to direct revision to the petitioner pensionary benefits with interest.

For Petitioner .. Mr.G.Punniakoti

For Respondent .. Mrs.Mythrae Chandru,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed in the nature of a Mandamus seeking a direction against the respondents to rectify the anomaly of a junior drawing more pay than the senior and step up the pay of the petitioner on par with his junior D.Sivaraman. The petitioner seeks such payment to be effected on and from 24.10.2002.

2.In the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, it had been contended that the petitioner had joined service as Secondary Grade Teacher in the year 1971 and had finally retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.06.2005. The petitioner had given a representation on 09.08.2018 claiming that he should be considered on par with his junior who had been granted increment. But that representation had been given



nearly about 13 years subsequent to the retirement of the petitioner. A further representation had been given in the year 2024 and thereafter, the petitioner had filed the present writ petition.

3.The respondents may examine the relevant records and pass appropriate orders. They may also examine the issue of delay and laches on the part of the petitioner in seeking the relief. The appeal filed by the petitioner may also be considered. At any rate, necessary orders shall be passed within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4.With the above observations, this Writ Petition stands disposed of.
No costs.

10.02.2025

Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
smv

To

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,



Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

2. The Director of School Education,
Chennai – 600 006.

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.

smv

3. The Chief Educational Officer,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

4. The Headmaster,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Mazhaiyur,
Thiruvannamalai District.

W.P.No.3214 of 2025



WEB COPY

5



10.02.2025