



WEB COPY

WP No. 40536 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 04-11-2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI**

**WP No. 40536 of 2025  
AND WMP NO. 45475 OF 2025**

Sarangarajan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector  
Ariyalur District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer  
Udaiyarpalayam And Taluk,  
Ariyalur District.

3.The Thasildar  
Jayankondan, Ariyalur And District.

4.Tirumavalavan

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER;** This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent 1 to 3 to consider the representation dated 10.09.2025, objecting construction of a new temple in S.Nos.183/1, 2,3 and 4, Parukkal West village, Udaiyarpallayam Taluk, Ariyalur Village in accordance with law.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.P.Arumugarajan

For Respondent: Mr.T.M.Rajangam, GA RR1 to 3



**ORDER**

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the representation dated 10.09.2025, objecting the construction of a new temple in S.Nos.183/1, 2,3 and 4, Parukkal West village, Udaiyarpallayam Taluk, Ariyalur Village.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent has illegally constructed a temple in S.No. 183/1, 183/2, 183/3 and 183/4 and the same was classified as Kuttai Poromboke without getting any permission from any authority. Hence, the petitioner made a representation on 10.09.2025 objection the said construction and the same is not considered. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this Court may direct the respondents to consider and pass orders on the petitioners representation within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the official respondents submitted that the representation will be considered and appropriate orders will be passed within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

5. Heard the learned counsel for both side and perused the materials available on record. Since no adverse order is passed against the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent,



notice to him is dispensed with.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the limited request sought for by the petitioner, this court directs the second respondent to conduct enquiry if any temple construction is going on in the aforesaid lands. If so, the second respondent is directed to take action and pass appropriate orders within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

**04-11-2025**

rlh

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The District Collector

Ariyalur District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer

Udaiyarpalayam And Taluk, Ariyalur District.

3. The Thasildar

Jayankondan, Ariyalur And District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 40536 of 2025



**M.DHANDAPANI J.**

**rli**

**WP No. 40536 of 2025**

**04-11-2025**