



WEB COPY

W.A.No.428 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.02.2025

CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

W.A.No.428 of 2025
and
C.M.P.No.3581 of 2025

1. The Chief Educational Officer,
Office of the Chief Educational Officer,
No.500, Raja St, Near Five Corner,
Town Hall Coimbatore - 641 001.
2. The District Education Officer,
Office of the District Education Officer,
Coimbatore - 641 001.
3. The District Educational Officer (Private Schools),
Office of the District Educational Officer (Private Schools),
Ondiputhur,
Coimbatore - 641 016. ... Appellants/Respondents 1 to 3
Vs.
1. Elango S/o. Thangamani ... Respondent/Writ Petitioner
2. Kalaivani Matriculation School,
Represented by its Correspondent,
Senthil Nagar, Ranganathapuram,
Sulur, Coimbatore - 641 402. ... Respondent/4th Respondent

Prayer: Writ appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent Act, to set aside the order dated 01.07.2024 in W.P.No.14913 of 2024.



WEB COPY

W.A.No.428 of 2



For Appellant : Mr.R.Neethi Perumal
Additional Government Pleader
For Respondents : Mr.S.Lakshmi Narayanan

[for R1]

J U D G M E N T

(Order of the Court was made by **S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.**)

Challenge is to the order of the learned single Judge made in W.P.Nos.14910 & 14913 of 2024 dated 01.07.2024, in and by which, a direction has been issued to the 4th respondent / Schools in each writ petitions to admit the child of the petitioner in both writ petitions under the Right to Children to Free and Compulsory Education Rules, 2011 and a direction was issued to the respondents 1 to 3 to pay the fees of the children. The sole ground, on which, the challenge is mounted by the appellants / Education Department is that the children are residing beyond the distance of 1 kilometre from the schools. The learned single Judge also find that the seats are vacant in the schools concerned.

2. No doubt, Rule 4 prescribes that a child should be a resident within 1 kilometre radius from the school concerned. But, this being a beneficial enactment, we cannot adopt a straight jacket formula. If the child is



W.A.No.428 of 2



willing to attend the school, it is not open to the Government to say that the child should not be admitted in a particular school.

WEB COPY

3. In the case on hand, the schools, in which the children have been directed to be admitted have not challenged the order made in the writ petitions. It is only the educational authorities, who have come to Court with a hyper-technical stand that the children are residing beyond the distance of 1 kilometre from the school concerned and therefore, admissions cannot be granted. We do not think, the State is aggrieved by this order.

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader would however, submit that if the children are admitted in other schools also, the Government will have to pay the fees. Therefore, we do not see any grievance for the appellants due to the order of the learned single Judge. Hence, this Writ Appeal is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[S.M.S., J.] [K.R.S., J.]


19.02.2025

veda

Index: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

W.A.No.428 of 2



- To
1. The Chief Educational Officer,
Office of the Chief Educational Officer,
No.500, Raja St, Near Five Corner,
Town Hall Coimbatore - 641 001.
 2. The District Education Officer,
Office of the District Education Officer,
Coimbatore - 641 001.
 3. The District Educational Officer (Private Schools),
Office of the District Educational Officer (Private Schools),
Ondiputhur,
Coimbatore - 641 016.



WEB COPY



W.A.No.428 of 2

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM,J.
AND
K.RAJASEKAR,J.

veda

W.A.No.428 of 2025
and
C.M.P.No.3581 of 2025

19.02.2025