



W.P.No.38028 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.10.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**W.P.No.38028 of 2025**  
**and W.M.P.No.42459 of 2025**

Senthil

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1. The Commissioner of Land Administration,  
Commissionerate of Revenue and  
Land Administration of Tamil Nadu,  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Ezhilagam,  
Chepauk, Chennai 600 005.

2. The District Collector,  
Collectorate Campus,  
Gopalapuram,  
Coimbatore 641 018.

3. The District Revenue Officer,  
District Revenue Office,  
Coimbatore.

4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
North Revenue Divisional Office,  
Coimbatore North Taluk,  
Coimbatore.

5. Krishnaveni

... Respondents



W.P.No.38028 of 2025

**Prayer :** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, seeking to quash the impugned order passed by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent vide NI.Mu.No.2357/2023/A2 dated 15.03.2025 and directing the third respondent not to conduct any enquiry based on the appeal filed by the 5th respondent dated 29.04.2025 as per the guidelines in W.P.No.9215 of 2013 vide order dated 23.10.2017 and circular Ref No.K3/27160/2018 dated 13.03.2018 issued by the 1st respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ambigapathi  
For R1 to R4 : Mr.S.Rajesh  
Government Advocate

**ORDER**

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the fourth respondent dated 15.03.2025.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 to 4 and perused the materials available on record.

3. It is seen that aggrieved by the order passed by the fourth respondent dated 15.03.2025, the fifth respondent filed an appeal and it is pending before the third respondent.



W.P.No.38028 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that already in respect of the subject property, suits are pending between the petitioner and the fifth respondent. Therefore, the third respondent may be restrained from disposing the appeal.

5. A perusal of records revealed that though the civil suits are pending, the third respondent is not going to decide the title over the property. The request made by the fifth respondent for deleting the names of some persons from the patta in respect of the subject property was rejected. Aggrieved by the same, the fifth respondent filed an appeal.

6. Therefore, the third respondent can very well deal with the appeal and dispose of the same, on merits and in accordance with law. It is also made clear that the third respondent can also consider the suits which are pending between the petitioner and the fifth respondent, while disposing the appeal.



W.P.No.38028 of 2025

7. With the above directions, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, connected Miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

09.10.2025

Internet: Yes

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Speaking/Non Speaking order  
mn



W.P.No.38028 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Commissioner of Land Administration,  
Commissionerate of Revenue and  
Land Administration of Tamil Nadu,  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Ezhilagam,  
Chepauk, Chennai 600 005.
2. The District Collector,  
Collectorate Campus,  
Gopalapuram,  
Coimbatore 641 018.
3. The District Revenue Officer,  
District Revenue Office,  
Coimbatore.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
North Revenue Divisional Office,  
Coimbatore North Taluk,  
Coimbatore.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.38028 of 2025

**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN. J.**

mn

W.P.No.38028 of 2025

09.10.2025