



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26981 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 26981 of 2025

Saravanakumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep by, The Inspector of Police,
Tiruppur North Police Station, Tiruppur Respondent(s)
city. (In Crime No.1101/2025)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest or surrender in Crime No. 1101 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Sadam Hussain J

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 281, 105, 238(b) & 240 of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.1101 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police,



seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner rashly drove the car, caused an accident resulting in grievous injuries to the deceased, and escaped from the scene of occurrence. Subsequently, the petitioner's father, the 2nd accused, made a false statement claiming he was the driver, attempting to shield the petitioner from liability. Hence, the instant case was registered by the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was not driving the car, as admitted by his father, the 2nd accused in the FIR. Due to the unexpected accident caused by the 2nd accused, he instructed the petitioner to leave the scene of occurrence, and the petitioner was unaware of the incident until it was committed by his father, the 2nd accused. He further submitted that the respondent police foisted a false case against the petitioner and there is no previous case against him. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner, having consumed alcohol, drove the vehicle in a rash and negligent manner, colliding with a two-wheeler ridden by the deceased, which led to the death of one Manivel. Subsequently, the petitioner's father



intervened to exonerate the petitioner, falsely claiming before the police that he was driving the vehicle and not the petitioner. Hence, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegation and the manner in which the petitioner and his father attempted to screen the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10-10-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26981 of 2025





WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26981 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

To

- 1.State Rep by, The Inspector of Police,
Tiruppur North Police Station, Tiruppur
city. (In Crime No.1101/2025)
- 2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

**CRL OP No. 26981 of
2025**

10-10-2025