



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.27585 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.27585 of 2025

Seenuvasan @ Srinivasan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by

The Inspector of Police
Thiruvennainallur Police Station
Villupuram District.
Crime No.238 of 2025.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.238 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : M/s.S.Sivakumar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.05.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(C), 29(1), 25, 27A of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 in Crime No. 238 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 18.05.2025, based on



WEB COPY

the secret information, the respondent police went to the scene of occurrence and seized 4 kgs of ganja from A1 and A2. Thereafter, based on their confession, the respondent police arrested A3 to A5 and seized 6 kgs of ganja. Based on the confession given by A1 and A3, the petitioner/A7 and A6 were arrested and seized 66 kgs of ganja from A6 and 4 kgs from petitioner herein/A7. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case based on the confession of co-accused. He submits that only 4 kgs of ganja were recovered from this petitioner and remaining quantity were recovered from A6. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that this is a second bail application and the earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.18584 of 2025 considering the quantity of contraband seized. He also submits that in this case, final report has been filed and the same is not taken on file. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. On perusal of the records, it is found that the petitioner along with



Crl.OP.No.27585 of 2025

WEB COPY

others are a gang involved in trafficking of 80 kgs of ganja. The quantity recovered from this petitioner could not be segregated from the total quantity of 80 Kgs. The petitioner acted along with other accused in transporting, possessing and sale of ganja by dividing into various portions.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case stated above, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, since all the accused persons acted with the common intention in the trafficking of ganja, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

08.10.2025

nr

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvannainallur.

2. The Inspector of Police
Thiruvannainallur Police Station
Villupuram District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

3/6



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.27585 of 2025

nr

Crl.O.P.No.27585 of 2025

08.10.2025