



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.26923 of 2025

K.Rajasekar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Union of India, rep by its
The Superintendent of Customs,
RSI Unit – Airport,
New Custom House,
Meenambakkam,
Chennai – 600 027.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in R.R.No.26 of 2025 in O.S.No.39 of 2025-INT-AIR & O.S.No.635 of 2025 AIU A on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.H.S.Sathyanarayanan
For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.08.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 8(c), 23, 28 and 29 under Section 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act in R.R.No.26/2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner accused, who was coming from Bangkok, Thailand to Chennai by Thai Flight No.TG 337 on 21.08.2025 had been brought 1.950 kgs of ganja and the same had been seized by the respondent police. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners an innocent person and he has falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation of this case is in initial stage and the petitioner/accused had brought the contraband from other country and the contraband was seized at International Terminal, Chennai. The petitioner had involved illicit transportation of 1.950 kgs of ganja from Bangkok to India for monetary benefits. It has been putforth that if he is enlarged on bail, he would escape from the clutches of law and flew away and he would commit similar offence and tamper the witnesses. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nascent stage of investigation, the release of the petitioner accused at this stage would hamper the investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

08.10.2025

kmm

To

1.The Union of India, rep by its
The Superintendent of Customs,
RSI Unit – Airport,
New Custom House,
Meenambakkam,
Chennai – 600 027.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

Crl.O.P.No.26923 of 2025



WEB COPY



08.10.2025