



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 26508 of 2025

M.Panneerselvam

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police, (crime) R-6,
Kumaran Nagar, Police Station,
Chennai - 600 083.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to_enlarge the petitioner on bail in in the event of he arrest by the respondent police in crime no 288 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.S.Suganya

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



ORDER

The Petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406 & 420 of IPC in Crime No.288 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner cheated the defacto complainant by taking Rs.4,88,700/- to procure a “assignment patta” (ownership document) but failed to deliver it. Despite partial refunds of Rs.2,01,000/- the accused still owes Rs.2,87,700/- and threatened the defacto complainant when asked for the balance payment. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that a false complaint has been lodged against the petitioner and that the parties have already arrived at a settlement and the petitioner has paid Rs.2,01,000/-. Therefore, the learned counsel prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) reported that the recently



only FIR has been registered and stated that the investigation is at preliminary stage and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, a portion of the amount has already been settled to the defacto complainant, and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

(a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **23rd Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

25-09-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

WEB COPY

- 1.State represented by
The Inspector of Police, (crime) R-6,
Kumaran Nagar, Police Station,
Chennai - 600 083.
- 2.23rd Metropolitan Magistrate Court,
Saidapet.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26508 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 26508 of
2025**

25-09-2025