



W.P.(Crl.) No.1012 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED : 08.10.2025**

WEB COPY

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR**

**W.P.(Crl.) No.1012 of 2025**

D.Suresh

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police  
O/o. District Police Office  
Chengalpet District

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police  
Mamallapuram  
Chengalpet District

3. The Inspector of Police  
Sadras Police Station  
Poonthandalam  
Chengalpet District

4. Janakiraman

... Respondents

**PRAYER** : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to provide adequate police protection for forbearing the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent to interfere into the petitioner's land comprised in Survey Nos.319/3 and 319/5 situated at Vitilapuram Revenue Village, Thirukalukundram Taluk, Chengalpet District, based on the petitioner's representation dated 18.09.2025 until pending disposal of the proceedings of District Revenue Officer, Chengalpet.


For Petitioner

: Mr.P.Murugesan

For Respondents 1 to 3

: Mr.R.Vinothraja

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



W.P.(CrI.) No.1012 of 2025

### **ORDER**

This Writ Petition (Criminal) has been filed to direct the respondents

WEB COPY

1 to 3 to provide adequate police protection forbearing the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent from interfering into the petitioner's land comprised in Survey Nos.319/3 and 319/5, situated at Vitilapuram Revenue Village, Thirukalukundram Taluk, Chengalpet District, based on the petitioner's representation dated 18.09.2025, until the disposal of the proceedings of District Revenue Officer, Chengalpet.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 and also perused the materials available on record.

3. It is seen that this petition has been filed by the petitioner only in order to protect his possession. Such a petition is not maintainable. If his possession is really threatened by any persons, proper remedy is to file a suit for injunction before the competent civil Court and as a matter of right, he cannot seek such an order from this Court. Whether the petitioner is in actual possession or not, is a matter of evidence which has to be seen only by the Civil Court.

4. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. However, if any offence is purported against the petitioner, on complaint,



W.P.(CrI.) No.1012 of 2025

let the police enquire the same and if any cognizable offence is made out,

the police shall proceed further as per law.

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

**08.10.2025**

ksa-2

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

**To**

- 1.The Superintendent of Police  
O/o. District Police Office  
Chengalpet District
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police  
Mamallapuram  
Chengalpet District
3. The Inspector of Police  
Sadras Police Station  
Poonthandalam  
Chengalpet District
4. The Public Prosecutor  
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY



W.P.(Crl.) No.1012 of 2025

**N. SATHISH KUMAR, J.**

*ksa-2*

**W.P.(Crl.) No.1012 of 2025**

***08.10.2025***