



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1857 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL RC No. 1857 of 2025

AND

CRL MP NO. 18218 OF 2025

1. Rajkumar

K A Ramachandran, Door No.617/4
B2, Site No.6, Surabhi Mount View,
IOB Colony, Maruthamalai, Vadavalli,
Coimbatore - 46

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Minor Dhanya

Rep by his guardian Mother 3rd
Respondent Saranya, Do.Durairaj,
W/o.Rakumar.

2.Minor Varsha

Rep by his guardian Mother, 3st
Respondent, Saranya, D/o.Durairaj

3.Saranya

D/o.Durairaj, W/o.Rakumar, All are
residing at, D/o.19, Bannari Amman
Nagar, Near Daksha Yavi Apartment
Lakshmi Nagar, Thondamuthur Road,
Vadavalli, Coimbatore - 46

Respondent(s)

CRL RC No. 1857 of 2025

PRAYER

To set aside the order and Final Order Dated 25.05.2025 made in M.C. No.72 of 2024 on the file of the Learned Additional Family Judge, Coimbatore by



allowing this Criminal Revision Petition.

e.

CRL RC No. 1857 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): K.Sudhakar
K.Muruganandham
A.Kalaiselvi

For Respondent:

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed to set aside the order and Final Order Dated 25.05.2025 made in M.C. No.72 of 2024 on the file of the Learned Additional Family Judge, Coimbatore.

2. The brief facts of the case:

The respondents herein filed petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C on the file of the Additional Family Judge, Coimbatore, seeking to direct the petitioner herein to pay a sum of Rs. 30,000/- to the first and second respondents herein and to pay a sum of Rs. 20,000/- to the 3rd respondent herein as monthly maintenance. The petitioner herein contested the case by filing counter. On hearing both sides, the Trial Court directed the petitioner herein to pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- to the 3rd respondent herein and to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- to the first and second respondent herein as monthly maintenance.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial court has



failed to consider that the fact that 3rd respondent is graduate and she is able to maintain herself economically. Further, the petitioner is paying lot of amounts towards EMI and also maintaining his parents, however, without considering the above the Trial court ordered extremely high maintenance, which is unreasonable. Hence, he prays to allow this Criminal Revision Case.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Upon a perusal of the records, it is evident that the petitioner/husband is employed at Indian Bank, earning Rs. 1,38,784/- per month, while the third respondent/wife is unemployed. Furthermore, the first and second respondents (the daughters) are currently pursuing their education and are being maintained by the third respondent. This Court is of the view that the Trial Court rightly fixed the maintenance amount, which needs no interference. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as no merits. Pending petition, if any, is/are closed.

13-10-2025

pbl
Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No

T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
pbl

To
The Additional Family Judge, Coimbatore



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 1857 of 2025



**CRL RC No. 1857 of
2025
AND CRL MP NO.
18218 OF 2025**

13-10-2025