



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26398of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.26398 of 2025

1. Selvaraj
2. Raja
3. Mogan
4. Ramesh

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
D-2, Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu District, Tamilnadu.
(Cr.No.610 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of
Crime No.610 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.H.Eshwar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under
Sections 296(b), 118(1), 115(1) and 351(2) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act in




Crime No.610 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are cousins and neighbours. There was a wordy quarrel arose between them with regard to the house constructed by the defacto complainant, the petitioners along with other accused abused and assaulted the defacto complainant with stick and caused injuries. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that injured was discharged from the hospital. He further reported that there is no previous case against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the submissions made by both counsel, and taking note of the fact that injured was discharged from the hospital and that there is no previous case as against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions. .

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate-II, Chengalpattu** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.26398of 2025

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

25.09.2025

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Chengalpattu
2. The Inspector of Police,
D-2, Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu District, Tamilnadu.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras, Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.26398of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.26398 of 2025

25.09.2025