



Cont.P.No.4024 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 18.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY**

Cont.P.No.4024 of 2024

K. GOPULU

... Petitioner

Vs

1. THIRU.S.S. RAJMOHAN,
THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
TAMIL NADU STATE TRANSPORT
CORPORATION (VILLUPURAM) LTD.,
KANCHIPURAM REGION,
NO. 3/137, SALAMEDU,
VAZATHAREDDY PO,
VILLUPURAM -605 602.
2. TMT. BAMA,
THE ADMINISTRATOR,
TAMIL NADU STATE TRANSPORT CORPORATION
EMPLOYEES PENSION FUND TRUST,
NO. 2, PALLAVAN SALAI,
CHENNAI -600 002.

... Respondents

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 praying to punish the respondents for having committed contempt by willful disobedience of the order of this Court made in W.P.No. 14741 of 2024 dated 06.06.2024.

For Petitioner

: Mr.S.T.Varadarajulu



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.4024 of 2024

For R1 : Mr.Aswin Vaidialingam

For R2 : Mr.C.S.K.Sathish

ORDER

The contempt petition has been filed complaining willful disobedience of the Order passed by this Court dated 06.06.2024 in W.P.No. 14741 of 2024.

2. On perusal of the said order, the Court directed the first and second respondents to disburse the amount to the petitioner, in respect of which the petitioner is found to be eligible. Certain benefits have been paid.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the second respondent alone did not pay the particular sum based on the commutation, which was not made at the relevant time.

4. However, it is the contention of the learned counsel for the second respondent that the petitioner is not eligible for the same as per the Rules and



Cont.P.No.4024 of 2024

they have passed an order on 01.10.2024.

WEB COPY

5. In that view of the matter, if the petitioner is aggrieved, it will be open for the petitioner to challenge the said order in the manner known to law. As far as the contempt petition is concerned, since the order directed the payment of the amount, if eligible as per the rules and when the second respondent is contesting that the petitioner is not eligible for the said benefit under the rules, the same cannot be gone into in this contempt petition.

6. Keeping open the liberty of the petitioner to raise his grievance by appropriate petition in appropriate manner, the contempt petitioner stands closed. If the petitioner contends that he is entitled to some more benefits, the liberty is open for him to challenge the calculation or the denial in the manner known to law. No costs.

18.02.2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
nsl



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.4024 of 2024

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

nsI

Cont.P.No.4024 of 2024

18.02.2025