IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.09.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

W.P.No.36641 of 2025
and
WMP.Nos.40963 & 40966 of 2025

A.Kannan ...Petitioner

Vs.

The Commissioner of Commercial Taxes,
Chepauk, Chennai – 600 005. ...Respondent

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records connected with the proceedings issued in Proc.No.P1/11659035/2025 dated 15.09.2025 passed by the respondent herein and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to allow the petitioner to continue in the same place.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Illamvaludhi

For Respondent : Ms.P.Selvi, Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking quashment of the proceedings dated 15.09.2025 issued by the respondent in Proc.No.P1/11659035/2025 and for a consequential direction to the respondent to allow the petitioner to continue in the same place.

2. Ms.P.Selvi, learned Government Advocate takes notice on behalf of the respondent. In view of the consent expressed by the learned counsel on either side, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The case of the petitioner is that, he was appointed as a Junior Assistant on 21.08.2000 through the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission and after various promotions and transfers, the petitioner is presently working as a Commercial Tax Officer at Coimbatore. While so, all of a sudden, the respondent, vide impugned proceedings dated 15.09.2025 bearing Proc.No.P1/11659035/2025, transferred the petitioner to Cuddalore, without taking into consideration the heath ailments suffered by the petitioner. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner made a representation dated

17.09.2025 before the respondent, seeking cancellation of his transfer. However, as the same evoked no response, the petitioner has come up with this writ petition, challenging the said transfer order dated 15.09.2025.

4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5. Though very many grounds have been raised by the learned counsel on either side, at the time of arguments, when the learned Government Advocate submitted that, the present transfer is only an usual administrative transfer, the learned counsel for the petitioner restricted his prayer and submitted that, it would suffice, if this Court issues a direction to the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 17.09.2025 and pass orders on the same, as expeditiously as possible. Learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent did not raise any objection for the limited relief sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the limited request made by the learned counsel for the

petitioner, this Court, without going into the merits of the case and without interfering with the impugned transfer order, directs the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 17.09.2025 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and aggrieved persons, if any.

7. With the above directions, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

25.09.2025

skt

Index	: Yes/No
Speaking order	: Yes/No
NCC	: Yes/No

To:

The Commissioner of Commercial Taxes,
Chepauk, Chennai – 600 005.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

skt

W.P.No.36641 of 2025
and
WMP.Nos.40963 & 40966 of 2025

25.09.2025