



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26273 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-09-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 26273 of 2025

1. Karthi Alias Karthikesan
W/o.Kaliyaperumal, No.33, Pudu
Street, Parithikudi, Nedungadu Post,
Karaikal District, Puducherry.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep by
Inspector of Police, Peralam police
station, Tiruvarur District. (Crime
No.407 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.407 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police and pass such other orders this Honble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): K.Prasath
 V.Lakshmi



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26273 of 2025



A.Stanislaus

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(A), 4(1-A) (ii) of Tamilnadu Prohibition (Amendment) Act in Crime No.407 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against this petitioner is that the petitioner joined hands with other accused, were found transporting 700 bottles, each 180 ml of illicit liquor, from Pondicherry to Tamilnadu. At that time, the police intercepted and arrested A1. The accused revealed that the property and vehicle belong to A2, who is the petitioner herein. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that a false case has been foisted against the



petitioner. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the petitioner was having illegal possession of 700 bottles liters of Illicit liquor each 180 ml. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the specific overt-act attributed to the petitioner and the huge quantity recovered, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

24-09-2025

mpa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Nannilam.

2. State Rep by

Inspector of Police, Peralam police
station, Tiruvarur District. (Crime
No.407 of 2025)

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 26273 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

**CRL OP No. 26273 of
2025**

24-09-2025